

FACULTÉ DES SCIENCES Département d'astronomie

# Where are the baryons?

### Dominique Eckert

Department of Astronomy, University of Geneva

Main collaborators: S. Ettori, M. Jauzac, J. Coupon, C. Tchernin, J.-P. Kneib, S. Molendi, E. Pointecouteau, M. Ramos, G. Hurier, F. Vazza, H.Y. Shan, S. Paltani

#### September 29, 2016

# The cosmic baryon fraction



Planck 2015 release:  $\Omega_b/\Omega_m = 0.156 \pm 0.003$ ; 2% uncertainty!

# Where are the Universe's baryons?

## Star formation in the Universe is inefficient



Coupon et al. 2015

# Where are the Universe's baryons?

## Star formation in the Universe is inefficient



Coupon et al. 2015

At all mass scales the stellar fraction only represents a small fraction (< 20%) of the cosmic baryon fraction

# Formation and evolution of a galaxy cluster



Millenium Simulation, Springel et al. 2005

# Most of the baryons should be in the hot gas

Comparison between 13 non-radiative codes



The baryon fraction should be close to the cosmic value

# AGN feedback in massive halos



Perseus cluster, Fabian et al. 2000

Feedback from the central AGN reheats the surrounding medium

# Adding baryonic physics and AGN feedback

Planelles et al. 2013

Le Brun et al. 2014



Cooling transforms some of the baryons into stars AGN feedback makes the gaseous atmosphere expand

# A word on mass measurement techniques



• Hydrostatic:  $\frac{dP}{dr}=-\rho\frac{GM(< r)}{r^2}$  ; assumes that all the energy is thermalized

# A word on mass measurement techniques



- Hydrostatic:  $\frac{dP}{dr} = -\rho \frac{GM(< r)}{r^2}$ ; assumes that all the energy is thermalized
- Weak lensing: requires precise shape measurements, sensitive to projection effects

# A word on mass measurement techniques



- Hydrostatic:  $\frac{dP}{dr} = -\rho \frac{GM(< r)}{r^2}$ ; assumes that all the energy is thermalized
- Weak lensing: requires precise shape measurements, sensitive to projection effects

All current measurements of  $\mathit{f}_{bar}$  assumed HSE

A large fraction of the Universe's baryons is escaping our census... This talk:

- A census of baryons in XXL-selected galaxy clusters
- The baryon content of optically-selected massive galaxies
- Pushing X-ray and SZ observations towards the outskirts
- Hot gas filaments in the outskirts of Abell 2744

XXL (PI: M. Pierre) covers an area of 50 square degrees with uniform 10 ks XMM exposure



- Survey sensitivity:  $5 \times 10^{-15}$  ergs cm<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> (0.5-2.0 keV band)
- Observations completed in December 2013

# The XXL-100 sample



Sample used to calibrate mass-observable scaling relations

# Weak lensing mass - X-ray temperature relation



 $M_{\rm WL}-T_X$  using 37 CFHTLS systems. Low scatter  $\sigma_{\rm int}\sim 20-30\%$ 



XXL Paper XIII, Eckert et al. 2016

 $M_{\rm gas}$  correlates very well with temperature

# Gas fraction

## We get $f_{\rm gas}$ by combining $M_{\rm WL}-T$ and $M_{\rm gas}-T$



Our  $f_{gas}$  is significantly lower than HSE-based measurements

# Hydrostatic bias?



Matching WL and hydrostatic relations would require a hydrostatic bias  $1-b=0.72\substack{+0.08\\-0.07}$ 

# Comparison with numerical simulations

Comparison with hydrodynamical simulations (cosmo-OWLS, Le Brun et al. 2014)



Puzzling result not easy to explain for simulations

# Implications for cosmology

Planck: inconsistency between CMB and cluster counts



Planck Collaboration XX, 2013

The tension could be solved by invoking a very large HSE bias  $1-b=0.58\pm0.04$ 

Expected  $f_{\text{gas}}$  for 1 - b = 0.58



Large HSE bias strongly disfavored by  $f_{gas}$  data

# XXL vs Planck cosmology



XXL prefers lower  $\sigma_8$  compared to *Planck* CMB, agreement with clusters and WL shear

# Hot halos of massive galaxies

Hot halos are a generic prediction of structure-formation models (e.g. Vogelsberger et al. 2014)



NGC 5746, Pedersen et al. 2006

# Hot halos of massive galaxies

Hot halos are a generic prediction of structure-formation models (e.g. Vogelsberger et al. 2014)



NGC 5746, Pedersen et al. 2006

The mass and occurrence of hot halos is essentially unknown

# Stacking optically-selected galaxies in XXL-N

Step 1: We selected a catalogue of  $\sim$  3,000 massive galaxies  $(M_{\star}>10^{11}M_{\odot})$  from WIRCAM and CFHTLS data



# Stacking optically-selected galaxies in XXL-N

Step 1: We selected a catalogue of  $\sim$  3,000 massive galaxies  $(M_{\star}>10^{11}M_{\odot})$  from WIRCAM and CFHTLS data



Step 2: We stacked XXL images in bins of  $M_{\star}$ 

# Low-*z* sample (0.2 < z < 0.5)



Coupon et al. in prep.

Strong X-ray signal detected down to  $M_{\star} = 10^{11} M_{\odot}!$ 



Here:  $11.2 < \log M_{\star} < 11.4$ 

# $L_X - M$ relation



The  $L_X - M$  relation extends with no break down to  $M_h \sim 10^{12.5} M_{\odot}$ 

# $L_X - M$ relation



The gas fraction

Why pushing toward the outskirts?  $(R > R_{500})$ 

- Contain  $\sim$  90% of the volume and  $\sim$  50% of the mass!
- Understand the build-up of galaxy clusters
- Test hydrostatic mass measurements
- Estimate the global baryon budget



Roncarelli et al. 2006

# ROSAT density profiles

- We analyzed a sample of 31 nearby clusters (0.04 < z < 0.2)
- Emission-measure and deprojected density profiles for all clusters
- In average density profiles *steepen* beyond *R*<sub>500</sub>
- Non-radiative simulations predict *too steep* density slopes



Eckert et al. 2012

# Planck Sunyaev-Zeldovich measurements

- Recently: *Planck* measures the SZ effect beyond the virial radius
- Combined with X-ray data, we can reconstruct:

$$kT = \frac{P_{SZ}}{n_{X-ray}}, \quad K = P_{SZ} n_{X-ray}^{-5/3}$$

 Assuming hydrostatic equilibrium we can also reconstruct mass profiles:

$$\frac{dP}{dr} = -\rho \frac{GM(< r)}{r^2}$$



Planck Collaboration V 2012

# Gas fraction in relaxed/unrelaxed systems



- For relaxed (CC) systems  $f_{gas}$  reaches the expected values  $(\Omega_b/\Omega_m-15\%)$
- For unrelaxed (NCC) systems f<sub>gas</sub> exceeds the cosmic value

# Mapping clusters out to $R_{vir}$ with XMM and Planck

Abell 2142 (z = 0.09): XMM mosaic program (Eckert et al. 2014)



# Calibration using deep fields

Mean radial profiles for 22 blank fields (total 1.3 Ms)



Eckert et al. subm.

Quiescent soft protons must be taken into account; with new calibration we reach a precision of 5% on background subtraction

# Mapping clusters out to $R_{vir}$ with XMM and Planck

Abell 2142 (z = 0.09): MILCA component separation (Hurier et al. 2013)



Planck nicely detects several individual clusters out to Rvir

- Possible interpretation: gas clumping
- The accretion flow on galaxy clusters is *clumpy* and *asymmetric*





Vazza, DE et al. 2013

- Possible interpretation: gas clumping
- The accretion flow on galaxy clusters is *clumpy* and *asymmetric*
- X-ray signal biased towards high-density, cool regions:

$$C^2 = rac{\langle 
ho^2 
angle}{\langle 
ho 
angle^2} > 1$$





Vazza, DE et al. 2013

# Gas clumping factor

Azimuthal median is robust against inhomogeneities



ROSAT/PSPC ENZO NR GADGET NR GADGET CSF+AGN



Eckert et al. 2015

- Non-radiative simulations predict *too many* substructures in the outskirts
- Including AGN + SN feedback improves the match

By comparing mean and median SB we can recover the gas clumping factor



Tchernin, DE et al. 2016

We observe significant clumping beyond  $R_{500}$ 

# A2142 entropy profile



The entropy flattens beyond  $R_{500}$  when clumps are not excised...

# A2142 entropy profile



Tchernin, DE et al. 2016

The entropy flattens beyond  $R_{500}$  when clumps are not excised... but not when clumping is taken into account!

# A2142 mass profile



Hydrostatic profiles consistent with weak lensing, galaxy dynamics;  $f_{gas}$  converges to the cosmic value

# The XMM Cluster Outskirts Very Large Programme (X-COP)

XMM AO-13 VLP, total 1.5 Ms: Construct a sample of 13 clusters at 0.04 < z < 0.1 with high-S/N *Planck* detection and XMM mapping of the entire azimuth

Cluster	Redshift	Mass [10 <sup>14</sup> M <sub>☉</sub> ]	Planck S/N
A2319	0.0557	5.83	30.8
A3266**	0.0589	4.56	27.0
A2142*	0.090	8.15	21.3
A2255	0.0809	3.74	19.4
A2029	0.0766	7.27	19.3
A3158	0.059	3.65	17.2
A85	0.0555	5.32	16.9
A1795	0.0622	5.53	15.0
A644	0.0704	3.88	13.9
RXC J1825	0.065	2.62	13.4
A1644	0.0473	2.93	13.2
ZwCl 1215	0.0766	3.59	12.8
A780*	0.0538	1.89	-

# The Warm-Hot Intergalactic Medium (WHIM)

- Numerical simulations predict that  $\sim 50\%$  of the baryons should be located in intergalactic filaments
- Temperatures in the range  $10^{5.5} 10^7$  K
- Density, temperature, gas mass scale with filament mass



Gheller et al. 2015

# Abell 2744 (z = 0.306): the Pandora cluster

Abell 2744 is one of the HST "Frontier Fields" clusters



Jauzac et al. 2015

Jauzac et al. 2015: We detected  $\sim 50$  lensed galaxies in this cluster, corresponding mass model known at 1% precision

# XMM-Newton observation of Abell 2744

We discovered 5 regions of extended X-ray emission radially connected to the cluster



# Hot gas filaments in Abell 2744

Significant extended emission detected in the direction of the filaments out to  $\sim 4~\text{Mpc}$ 



# Hot gas filaments in Abell 2744

The filamentary structures correspond with overdensities of cluster galaxies (spectroscopically confirmed)...



Eckert et al. 2015

# Hot gas filaments in Abell 2744

The filamentary structures correspond with overdensities of cluster galaxies ... and DM (CFHT weak lensing)!



Eckert et al. 2015

# Galaxies and DM overdensity in the filaments

Galaxy density



Lensing signal

Excess galaxy and DM density is observed in the regions encompassing the filaments. The gas fraction in the filaments is 5-10%

# Nature of the filaments

Spectral analysis reveals thermal gas with  $\, {\cal T} \sim 1 \, \, {
m keV}$ 



We are observing diffuse hot gas originating from the LSS and heated up by the gravitational pull of A2744

# Is it the WHIM?



We are observing gas with overdensity  $\sim 200$  and  $\mathcal{T} \sim 10^7$  K: consistent with predictions for the high-T part of the WHIM.



# Towards a characterization of the hot phase of the WHIM





Idea: Target the most massive clusters at intermediate redshift

# Summary

- Gas fraction of XXL-100 clusters lower than expected
- Trouble to explain this result using cosmological simulations; issue with WL masses?
- Stacking optically selected galaxies yields detection down to  $10^{12.5} M_{\odot}$
- Combining X-ray and SZ data in cluster outskirts highlights the importance of clumping
- No sign of hydrostatic bias there
- Expect many more results on cluster outskirts with accepted XMM large programme
- We discovered 3 filaments radially connected to A2744
- Properties consistent with WHIM, gas fraction 5-10%
- In the future, ATHENA will revolutionize the field and make a census of hot baryons in the cosmic web, galaxies and clusters

# **Backup Slides**

# Gas clumping factor

Azimuthal median is robust against inhomogeneities



Eckert et al. 2015

ROSAT/PSPC ENZO NR GADGET NR GADGET CSF+AGN



Eckert et al. 2015

- Hydrodynamical simulations predict *too many* substructures in the outskirts
- Including AGN + SN feedback improves the match

# The ATHENA mission concept



# The Warm-Hot Intergalactic Medium

About half of the baryons in the local Universe should be in the form of a high-T ( $T = 10^5 - 10^7$  K) plasma in the cosmic web



Kaastra et al. 13, SP4

Finding and characterizing the missing baryons in the Universe requires high-resolution X-ray spectroscopy