High precision Local measurements of the Hubble constant as probes into the physics of the Accelerating Universe

Martino Romaniello ESO & Excellence Custer Universe

#### The matter-energy content of the Universe



 96% of the Universe is made of things whose very nature we don't understand

• In particular, there is no framework for Dark Energy, which challenges our understanding in a couple of interesting ways...

### The Accelerating Universe

• Within General Relativity, available evidences favour a simple Cosmological Constant (1)



• ... or GR breaks down on cosmological scales...

• ... or there are more than 3 species of neutrinos...

e ....OT...

### Vacuum energy

• Cosmic Acceleration is driven by a smooth, spatially and temporally constant, elastic  $(p/e=-1\pm0.5 \text{ [stat]}\pm0.5 \text{ [sys]})$  component

$$R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}Rg_{\mu\nu} = 8\pi G T_{\mu\nu} - \Lambda g_{\mu\nu}$$

• ...which is mathematically equivalent to an energy density associated to the vacuum:

$$T_{\mu\nu}^{\rm vac} = -\rho_{\rm vac} \ g_{\mu\nu}$$
$$\rho_{\rm vac} = \rho_{\Lambda} \equiv \frac{\Lambda}{8\pi G}$$

#### The 120 orders of magnitude problem

- A has dimensions of [L]-2, thus introducing a scale in the otherwise scale-free GR
- A natural expectation is for this scale to be comparable to the Planck scale:

$$\Lambda^{\text{guess}} \sim L_{\text{Planck}}^{-2} \Longrightarrow \rho_{\text{vac}}^{\text{guess}} \sim M_{\text{Planck}}^{4} \sim 10^{112} \text{ erg cm}^{-3}$$
• This is 120 orders of magnitude larger than observed ( $\Lambda$ =0.7):  

$$\rho_{\text{vac}}^{\text{obs}} = \frac{\Lambda}{8\pi G} \sim 10^{-8} \text{ erg cm}^{-3}$$

### The coincidence scandal

• We live in an epoch in which Dark Energy and (dark) matter are of the same order of magnitude

carroll (2004)



# The Hubble Constant



• The CMB fixes the sound horizon at recombination, then, given a cosmology, the Friedmann equation yields a/a=H(z)

Hand Dark Energ



• The impact of varying cosmology on the Hubble parameter H is maximum at z=0

 $\sigma(\omega_{o})^{\sim} 2\sigma(H_{o})$ 





courtesy of Lucas Macr

### Ho and Dark Energy

- In combination with other data, a high precision local measurement of Ho provides direct insight into the physics of the accelerating Universe
- Local measurements, independent from cosmology, indicate a high Ho (73.24±1.74 km/ s/Mpc, Riess et al 2016; Freedman et al 2012)
- Estimates from CMB and concordance ΛCDM model indicate a Low Ho (67.8±0.9 km/s/Mpc, Planck 2015 results XIII)

#### Is this ~3.3σ tension real???

• If confirmed at a higher significance, it would be unambiguous indication of physics beyond ACDM

Decermining 10





#### Ho and the Accelerating Universe



al (2016, ApJ, 826, 56) Ś Rises

# Measuring Ho

# ...il's all in the systematics...

#### JVAS B0218+357



Smallest lens system yet discovered: A-B separation = 334 mas Excellent model constraints possible from Einstein ring Lens galaxy is an isolated spiral (*z* = 0.6847)

#### What does this mean for $H_0$ ?



For  $\tau = 11.5$  days,  $H_0 \approx 67 \pm 5$  km/s/Mpc ( $2\sigma$ )

Slide courtesy of Andy Biggs (ESO)

### SHOES

- The SHOES (Supernovae and Ho for the Equation of State)
   HST programme (PI Adam Riess) aims at measuring Ho to percent precision to constrain Dark Energy. Three rung stellar distance Ladder:
  - Cepheids to calibrate the peak luminosity of Supernovce type Ia that are, then, used to measure Ho in the Hubble flow



courtesy: Adam Riess

The SHOES distance ladder



Rises et al (2016, ApJ, 826, 56)

#### The extragalactic distance ladder



# Cepheid primer

Period	5 days	10 days	40 days
Mass (sun)	6	7	13
Radius (sun)	40	70	200
Density (g/m <sup>3</sup> )	100	25	2
Luminosity (sun)	1000	2000	10 000
Temperature (K)	6000	5600	5300
Age (Myr)	75	50	15



• The principle is simple: measure the period, infer the star's absolute luminosity from Leavitt's relation (L), compare it with the measured one (L) and, presto!, get the distance:  $d=(L/L)^{1/2}$  [10 pc]

### Cepheids as standard candles

• Things are not quite that simple, because Leavitt's relation is not a line, it is actually a strip...

(hansonnumm) Gon	
	log (period)

• Sandage (1958): "...the possibility exists that the PL relation is not unique and the scatter real...the existence of an intrinsic scatter in the P=f(L) suggests that a third parameter is involved...in what follows, a semi-theoretical relation is found which indeed involves three parameters [the third being (B-V)]..."

### Cepheids as standard candles

• Things are not quite that simple, because Leavitt's relation is not a line, it is actually a strip...



- ...which means that there is an intrinsic limitation to the accuracy with which distances to individual stars can be determined: 15-20% at optical wavelengths, a few % in the near-infrared
- The accuracy of the distance to a group of stars scales ~ with the square root of number of stars themselves

### The Wesenheil magnitude

•Period-Luminosity-Color relationships with the colour term determined not by Cepheid physics, but by some extinction law R

$$W(i, j, k) = m_i - \frac{R_i}{R_j - R_k} \times (m_j - m_k)$$

### •W is "reddening-free"... $W(i, j, k) = m_{i,0} + A_i - \frac{R_i}{R_j - R_k} \times (m_{j,0} - m_{k,0} + E(j - k)) = W_0(i, j, k)$

o... if R is known and small

#### The Wesenheit magnitude •For selected combinations of bands, the scatter is indeed reduced



#### •OGLE $W(V, I) = I - 1.55 \times (V - I)$

•SHOES (Riess et al 2016)  $W(H,V,I) = H - 0.410 \times (V - I)$ 

•Über-Wesenheit (Nataf et al 2016)  $W(I, I, J) = I - 1.61 \times (I - J)$ 

### LACES

## Locking the Abundances of Cepheids for SHOES

#### LACES

Accurately and precisely assess the influence of chemical composition on the SHOES Cepheid-SNIa distance ladder for Ho to better than 2%

- Step 1. Magellanic Clouds (50 kpc): 1% calibration of Cepheid stars as standard candles
- Step 2. Magellanic Cloud sub-sample in the infrared (IR): calibrate potential wavelength-dependent systematics
- Step 3. IR Cepheids and Red Supergiants in the same galaxy (NGC6822, 0.5 Mpc): check for stellar population systematics
- Step 4. IR Red Giant Super Star Clusters: actual stellar chemical composition in the SHOES galaxies used to measure H<sub>o</sub> (20-30 Mpc)

The people

- The LACES collaboration...
  - Romaniello (PI), Riess, Bono, Macri, Kudritzki, Freudling, Inno, Casertano, Fiorentino, Urbaneja, Groenewegen, Storm, Anderson, Pietrzynski, Gieren, Lee, Ngeow, Kanbur, Matsunaga, Primas, Bresolin, Nonino, Bergemann, Bastian, Davies, Lardo, Patrick, Evans, Bergemann, Mancino, Bhardwaj
  - Conceived at the 2014 MIAPP workshop "The Extragalactic Distance Scale"
- e ... and other local connections
  - Kudritzki, Suyu, Weller, Weiss, Bender, ...

#### Cepheids and chemical composition



Romaniello et al (2008, A&A, 488, 731)



Freedman & Madore (2011, ApJ, 734, 46)

#### The chemical ladder to Ho

- Step 1. Magellanic Clouds (50 kpc): 1% calibration of Cepheid stars as standard candles
- Step 2. Magellanic Cloud sub-sample in the infrared (IR): calibrate potential wavelength-dependent systematics
- Step 3. IR Cepheids and Red Supergiants in the same galaxy (NGC6822, 0.5 Mpc): check for stellar population systematics
- Step 4. IR Red Giant Super Star Clusters: actual stellar chemical composition in the SHOES galaxies used to measure Ho (20-30 Mpc)

Calibrating Cepheids to 1%

- Statistical ensembles of Cepheids
- 30 Cepheids per bin of metallicity: residuals on distance to 1%
- 5 metallicity bins to cover the range of SHOES galaxies
- 5 FLAMES@VLT pointings
   on the Magellanic Clouds
   in 4 settings (7.5+3 nights)



Calibrating Cepheids to 1%

Statistical ensembles of Cepheids



### LACES dala I

#### Giraffe spectra of Magellanic Cloud Cepheids



















### LACES dala II

Custom non-LTE stellar atmosphere models



### LACES dala III

#### Ha from FLAMES-UVES (1 exposure out of 18)



Shock near minimum light (maximum compression)

pare tipre science

#### Miras in the LMC



### LACES data IV

#### KMOS spectra of Magellanic Cloud Cepheids



### Extragalactic abundances

• HII region abundances from strong lines are very sensitive to poorly constrained detailed local conditions: electron temperature and density, nature of the ionizing stars, gas inhomogeneities, filling factors, depletion onto dust





Different
calibrations have
different shapes
leading to variations
in oxygen of several
tenths of dex
(Bresolin et al. 2009)

#### Extragalactic abundances from SSCs

- The problem: how to measure reliable stellar abundances out to 15-20+ Mpc?
- The solution: Super Star Clusters (SSCs)!
  - Their integrated light is dominated by Red SuperGiants for which ±0.1 dex abundances can be derived from Jband spectroscopy at R≈2-3000 (Gazak et al 2014a,b)



Abundances from Red Supergiants



See also Davies et al 2015, The Messenger, 161, 32



- IRCS@Subaru spectrum of a Red Supergiant in Perseus, degraded in resolution down to 1500
- Abundance diagnostic lines in the J band are visible down to R=3000

## Extragalactic abundances: RSGs and SSCs

- Different stellar abundance indicators give very consistent results
  - HII region abundances from strong lines are typically overestimated by 02-0.3 dex
- E.g., metallicity gradient in NGC
  300 from Blue
  and Red
  Supergiants
  (Gazak et al 2015)



### LACES data - 1

#### KMOS spectra of Superstar Clusters in NGC1365

Courtesy of Ben Davies



#### In summary...

- Cosmic acceleration is arguably one of the most profound puzzles in contemporary physics
  - Its simplest explanation is quantum vacuum energy
  - All attempts to compute its value are many, many orders of magnitude too large: observations need to drive theory
- In combination with other data, a high precision local measurement of H<sub>0</sub> provides direct insight into the physics of the accelerating Universe
- We are going to provide a crucial missing piece towards measuring H<sub>0</sub> locally to ~1.5% with Cepheids-SNIas
  ...or possibly prove that it's not actually attainable
  Plus quite some stellar astrophysics on spare fibres